arXiv:1604.03774v1 [cs.IT] 13 Apr 2016

Matrix-Product Complementary dual Codes

Xiusheng Liu
School of Mathematics and Physics,
Hubei Polytechnic University
Huangshi, Hubei 435003, China,
Email: lxs6682@163.com
Hualu Liu
School of Mathematics and Physics,
Hubei Polytechnic University
Huangshi, Hubei 435003, China,
Email: hwlulu@aliyun.com

April 14, 2016

Abstract

Linear complementary dual codes (LCD) are linear codes satisfying $C \cap C^{\perp} = \{0\}$. Under suitable conditions, matrix-product codes that are complementary dual codes are characterized. We construct LCD codes using quasi-orthogonal matrices. Some asymptotic results are derived.

Key Words: LCD codes; Matrix-product codes; dual codes

1 Introduction

Linear complementary dual codes (which is abbreviated to LCD codes) are linear codes that meet their dual trivially. These codes were introduced by Massey in [3] and showed that asymptotically good LCD codes exist, and provide an optimum linear coding solution for the two-user binary adder channel. They are also used in counter measure to passive and active side channel analyses on embedded cryto-systems(See[2]). It was proven by Sendrier [5] that LCD codes meet the Gilbert-Varshamov bound. Necessary and sufficient conditions for cyclic codes to be LCD codes were obtained(See[6]).

Matrix-product codes over finite fields were introduced in [1]. Many well-known constructions can be formulated as matrix-product codes, for example, the $(a|a+b)$-construction and the $(a+x|b+x|a+b+x)$-construction, and some quasi-cyclic codes can be rewritten as matrix-product codes(See[1,8]). In [4], the authors studied complementary-dual quasi-cyclic codes. Necessary and sufficient conditions for certain classes of quasi-cyclic codes to be LCD codes were obtained. Motivated by this work, we will investigate necessary and sufficient conditions for certain classes of matrix-product codes to be LCD codes.

We finish this introduction with a description of each section in this paper. Section 2 reviews the basics about linear codes, matrix-product codes and LCD codes. In section 3, we propose new constructions of LCD codes by using matrix-product codes, and, in section 4, using methods of the section 3, concrete examples are presented to construct good parameters LCD codes of the section 3. Finally, a brief summary of this work is described in section 5.

2 Preliminaries

In order for the exposition in this paper to be self-contained, we introduce some basic concepts and results about linear codes, LCD codes and matrix-product codes. For more details, we refer to [1,3,10].

Starting from this section till the end of this paper, we assume that $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field with $q = p^m$ elements, where p is a prime number and $m \geq 1$ is an integer. For a positive integer n, let $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ denote the vector space of all n-tuples over $\mathbb{F}_q$. A linear $[n,k]_q$ code C over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a k-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. The Hamming weight $w_H(c)$ of a codeword $c \in C$ is the number of nonzero components of c. The Hamming distance of two codewords $c_1, c_2 \in C$ is $d_H(c_1, c_2) = w_H(c_1 - c_2)$. The minimum Hamming distance $d(C)$ of C is the minimum Hamming distance between any two distinct codewords of C. An $[n,k,d]_q$ code is an $[n,k]_q$ code with the minimum Hamming distance d.

For two vectors $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q^n$, we define the Euclidean inner product $< a, b >$ to be $< a, b >= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i$. For a linear $[n,k]_q$ code C over $\mathbb{F}_q^n$, we define the Euclidean dual code as

$$C^{\perp} = \{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid < a, b >= 0 \text{ for all } b \in C\}.$$

To define the Hermitian inner product, we will assume that $m = 2k$ and $l = p^k$. Then $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{l^2}$. For two vectors $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}^n$, we define the Hermitian inner product $< a, b >_h$ to be $< a, b >_h = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i^l$. For a linear $[n,k]_{l^2}$ code C over $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}^n$, we define the Hermitian dual code as

$$C^{\perp_h} = \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{l^2}^n \mid < a, b >_h = 0 \text{ for all } b \in C\}.$$

From the fact that the Hermitian inner product is nondegenerate, it follows immediately that $(C^{\perp_h})^{\perp_h} = C$ holds. Moreover, one has $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{l^2}} C^{\perp_h} + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{l^2}} C = n$.

A linear code C is called Hermitian LCD if $C^{\perp_h} \cap C = \{0\}$.

Given a vector $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$, we define the lth power of a as

$$a^l = (a_1^l, a_2^l, \ldots, a_n^l).$$

For a linear code C of length n over $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}^n$, we define C^l to be the set $\{a^l \mid \text{ for all } a \in C\}$. Then, it is easy to see that for a linear code C of length n over $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}^n$, the Hermitian dual $C^{\perp_h}$ is equal to the Euclidean $(C^l)^\perp$ dual of C^l. Therefore, C is a Hermitian LCD code if and only if $(C^l)^\perp \cap C = \{0\}$.

2.1 The Matrix-Product Codes

The matrix-product codes $C = [C_1, \ldots, C_s]A$ consists of all matrix product $[c_1, \ldots, c_s]A$ where $c_i \in C_i$ is an $n \times 1$ column vector and $A = (a_{ij})_{s\times m}$ is an $s \times m$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Here $s \leq m$ and C_i is an $(n, \mid C_i \mid, d_i)_q$ code over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If $C_1, \ldots, C_s$ are linear with generator matrices $G_1, \ldots, G_s$, respectively, then $[C_1, \ldots, C_s]A$ is linear with generator matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}G_1 & a_{12}G_1 & \cdots & a_{1m}G_1 \\ a_{21}G_2 & a_{22}G_2 & \cdots & a_{2m}G_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{s1}G_s & a_{s2}G_s & \cdots & a_{sm}G_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

A matrix-product code $C = [C_1, \ldots, C_s]A$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is said to be a matrix-product LCD (MPLCD) code if the matrix-product code $C = [C_1, \ldots, C_s]A$ is an LCD code over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{s\times m}$ be a matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$. For any index $1 \leq k \leq s$, we denote by $U_A(k)$ the linear code of length m over $\mathbb{F}_q$ generated by the ith rows of A, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Let A_t be the matrix consisting of the first t rows of $A = (a_{ij})_{s\times m}$. For $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_t \leq m$, we write $A(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_t)$ for the $t \times t$ matrix consisting of columns $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_t$ of A_t.

Definition 2.1. [1, Definition 3.1] *Let $A = (a_{ij})_{s\times l}$ be a matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$.*

(1) *If the rows of A are linearly independent, then we say A is a full-row-rank(FRR) matrix.*

(2) *We call A non-singular by columns (NSC) if $A(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_t)$ is non-singular for each $1 \leq t \leq s$ and $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_t \leq m$.*

The codes in this paper are based on matrix-product codes, whose parameters are described in the following result.

Lemma 2.2. [7] *If C_i is a linear code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with parameters $[n, k_i, d_i]_q$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, $A = (a_{ij})_{s\times m}$ is an FRR matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $C = [C_1, \ldots, C_s]A$, then C is an $[nm, \sum_{i=1}^s k_i, d(C)]_q$*

linear code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ whose minimum distance $d(C)$ satisfying

$$d(C) \geq \min\{d_1 d(U_A(1)), d_2 d(U_A(2)), \ldots, d_s d(U_A(s)\}.$$

Lemma 2.3. [1, Theorem 3.7, Proposition 6.2] *If C_i is a linear code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with parameters $[n, k_i, d_i]_q$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, A is an $s \times m$ NSC matrix and $C = [C_1, \ldots, C_s]A$, then*

(1) *$d(C) \geq d^* = \min\{md_1, (m-1)d_2, \ldots, (m-s+1)d_s\}$;*

(2) *If A is also upper-triangular then $d(C) = d^*$.*

Lemma 2.4. [1, Theorem 6.6, Proposition 6.2] *Let A be an $s \times s$ non-singular matrix and $C_1, \ldots, C_s$ are linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If $C = [C_1, \ldots, C_s]A$, then*

(1) *$([C_1, \ldots, C_s]A)^\perp = [C_1^\perp, \ldots, C_s^\perp](A^{-1})^T$;*

(2) *If A is also $s \times s$ NSC matrix, then $d(C^\perp) \geq (d^\perp)^* = \min\{sd_s^\perp, (s-1)d_{s-1}^\perp, \ldots, d_1^\perp\}$ where $d_j^\perp = d(C_j^\perp)$, for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$;*

(3) *If A is also upper-triangular then $d(C^\perp) = (d^\perp)^*$.*

2.2 Cyclic LCD Codes

We first reviews the basics about Euclidean LCD codes.

Given a ring $\widetilde{R}$, for a nonempty subset S of $\widetilde{R}$, the annihilator of S, denoted by ann(S), is the set

$$ann(S) = \{f \in \widetilde{R} | fg = 0, \text{ for all } g \in S\}.$$

If, in addition, S is an ideal of $\widetilde{R}$, then $ann(S)$ is also an ideal of $\widetilde{R}$.

For any polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i x^i$ of degree k ($a_k \neq 0$) over $\mathbb{F}_q$, let $f^*(x)$ denote the reciprocal polynomial of $f(x)$ given by

$$f^*(x) = x^k f(\frac{1}{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{k-i} x^i.$$

Note that $(f^*)^* = f$ if and only if the constant term of f is nonzero, if and only if deg(f)=deg(f^*). Furthermore, by definition, it is easy to see that $(fg)^* = f^* g^*$. We denote $N^* = \{f^*(x) | f(x) \in N \subset \mathbb{F}_q[x]\}$. It is easy to see that if N is an ideal, then N^* is also an ideal. Hereafter, we will use $ann^*(C)$ to denote$(ann(C))^*$.

The following Proposition can be found in [10].

Proposition 2.5. *If C is a cyclic code of length n over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then the dual $C^\perp$ of C is $ann^*(C)$.*

Suppose that $f(x)$ is a monic (i.e., leading coefficient 1) polynomial of degree k with $f(0) = c \neq 0$. Then by monic reciprocal polynomial of $f(x)$ we mean the polynomial $\widetilde{f}(x) = c^{-1} f^*(x)$.

We recall a result about cyclic LCD codes which can be found in [6].

Proposition 2.6. *If $g_1(x)$ is the generator polynomial of a cyclic code C of length n over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then C is an LCD code if and only if $g_1(x)$ is self-reciprocal (i.e., $\widetilde{g}_1(x) = g_1(x)$) and all the monic irreducible factors of $g_1(x)$ have the same multiplicity in $g_1(x)$ and in $x^n - 1$.*

In the following we investigate the generator polynomials of the repeated-root cyclic LCD codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ where $q = p^m$.

It is well known that each cyclic code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is uniquely determined by its generator polynomial, a monic divisor of $x^n - 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. In order to describe the generator polynomials of the repeated-root cyclic LCD codes, we need to know the factorization of the polynomial $x^n - 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Write

$$n = p^t l,$$

where t is a nonnegative integer depending on n and $gcd(l, p) = 1$. Then

$$x^n - 1 = (x^l - 1)^{p^t}.$$

For any irreducible polynomial dividing $x^l - 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, its reciprocal polynomial also divides $x^l - 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and is also irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Since $gcd(l, p) = 1$, the polynomial $x^l - 1$ factors completely into nonassociated irreducible factors in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ as

$$x^l - 1 = \delta f_1(x) f_2(x) \cdots f_k(x) h_1(x) h_1^*(x) \cdots h_s(x) h_s^*(x),$$

where $\delta \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_q$, $f_1(x), f_2(x), \cdots, f_k(x)$ are irreducible polynomials that are associates to their own reciprocals, and $h_1(x), h_1^*(x); \cdots; h_s(x), h_s^*(x)$ are pairs of mutually reciprocal irreducible polynomials. Therefore

$$x^n - 1 = \delta^{p^t} (f_1(x))^{p^t} (f_2(x))^{p^t} \cdots (f_k(x))^{p^t} (h_1(x))^{p^t} (h_1^*(x))^{p^t} \cdots (h_s(x))^{p^t} (h_s^*(x))^{p^t}. \quad (2.1)$$

We can describe the generator polynomials of the repeated-root cyclic LCD codes as soon as we know the factorization of $x^n - 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $x^n - 1$ be factorized as in (2.1). A repeated-root cyclic code C of length n over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is an LCD code if and only if its generator polynomial is of the form*

$$(f_1(x))^{\alpha_1} (f_2(x))^{\alpha_2} \cdots (f_k(x))^{\alpha_k} (h_1(x))^{\beta_1} (h_1^*(x))^{\beta_1} \cdots (h_s(x))^{\beta_s} (h_s^*(x))^{\beta_s}. \quad (2.2)$$

where $\alpha_i \in \{0, p^t\}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $\beta_j \in \{0, p^t\}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Proof. Let C be a cyclic code of length n over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $g(x)$ be its generator polynomial. We need to show that C is an LCD code if and only if $g(x)$ is of the form as in (2.2).

Suppose that

$$g(x) = \varepsilon (f_1(x))^{\alpha_1} (f_2(x))^{\alpha_2} \cdots (f_k(x))^{\alpha_k} (h_1(x))^{\beta_1} (h_1^*(x))^{\gamma_1} \cdots (h_s(x))^{\beta_s} (h_s^*(x))^{\gamma_s}$$

with leading coefficient 1, where $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq p^t$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $0 \leq \beta_j, \gamma_j \leq p^t$ for each $1 \leq j \leq s$, where $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then

$$g^*(x) = \eta(f_1(x))^{\alpha_1}(f_2(x))^{\alpha_2} \cdots (f_k(x))^{\alpha_k}(h_1(x))^{\gamma_1}(h_1^*(x))^{\beta_1} \cdots (h_s(x))^{\gamma_s}(h_s^*(x))^{\beta_s},$$

where $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Therefore,

$$\widetilde{g}(x) = \frac{1}{g(0)}g^*(x) = \varepsilon(f_1(x))^{\alpha_1}(f_2(x))^{\alpha_2} \cdots (f_k(x))^{\alpha_k}(h_1(x))^{\gamma_1}(h_1^*(x))^{\beta_1} \cdots (h_s(x))^{\gamma_s}(h_s^*(x))^{\beta_s}.$$

By Proposition 2.6, C is an LCD code if and only if $g(x) = \widetilde{g}(x)$ and all the monic irreducible factors of $g(x)$ have the same multiplicity in $g(x)$ and in $x^n - 1$, i.e., $\beta_j = \gamma_j$ for each $1 \leq j \leq s$, $\alpha_i \in \{0, p^t\}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $\beta_j \in \{0, p^t\}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Therefore, C is an LCD code if and only if its generator polynomial $g(x)$ is of the form as in (3.2). □

Next, we reviews the basics about Hermitian LCD codes which can be found in [8].

Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \ldots + a_kx^k \in \mathbb{F}_{l^2}[x]$ with a nonzero constant coefficient a_0, let us denote the monic polynomial by

$$f^{\perp}(x) = a_0^{-l}(a_k^l + a_{k-1}^l x + \ldots + a_0^l x^k).$$

Then $f^{\perp}(x)$ is called conjugate-reciprocal polynomial of $f(x)$.

Lemma 2.8. [9, Lemma 7] *Assume that $C = \langle g(x) \rangle$ is a cyclic code of length n over $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}$ with generator polynomial $g(x)$. Define $h(x) = \frac{x^n-1}{g(x)}$. Then we have that $C^{\perp_h} = \langle h^{\perp}(x) \rangle$.*

Similar to the discussions in [3], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.9. *If $g(x)$ is the generator polynomial of a cyclic code C of length n over $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}$, then C is an LCD cyclic code with respect to Hermitian inner product if and only if $g(x)$ is conjugate-self-reciprocal* (i.e.,$g(x) = g^{\perp}(x)$) *and all the monic irreducible factors of $g(x)$ have the same multiplicity in $g(x)$ and in $x^n - 1$.*

3 Matrix-Product LCD Codes

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $s \times m$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{F}_q$. A is said to be a quasi-orthogonal matrix if AA^T is a diagonal square matrix where all the diagonal entries are non-zeros of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then we have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s$ be linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let A is an $s \times s$ quasi-orthogonal matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then C=$[C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s]A$ is an MPLCD code if and only if $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s$ are all LCD codes. In particular, if $(A^{-1})^T = aA$, where $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, then $C = [C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s]A$ is an MPLCD code if and only if $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s$ are all LCD codes.*

Proof. Assume that $AA^T = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_s \end{pmatrix}$, with r_i being non-zeros of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then

$$(A^{-1})^T = \begin{pmatrix} r_1^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & r_2^{-1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} A.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, the dual code

$$\begin{aligned} C^\perp &= ([C_1, \ldots, C_s]A)^\perp \\ &= ([C_1^\perp, \ldots, C_s^\perp](A^{-1})^T \\ &= [C_1^\perp, \ldots, C_s^\perp] \begin{pmatrix} r_1^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & r_2^{-1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} A. \end{aligned}$$

Since C_j is a linear code, so also is $C_j^\perp$. This implies that $r_j^{-1} C_j^\perp = C_j^\perp$, for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. Hence,

$$C^\perp = [C_1^\perp, \ldots, C_s^\perp] \begin{pmatrix} r_1^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & r_2^{-1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} A = [C_1^\perp, \ldots, C_s^\perp]A. \tag{3.1}$$

$\Rightarrow$: If C is not an MPLCD code over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then there exists a nonzero codeword α in C such that $\alpha = (c_1, \ldots, c_s)A \in C \cap C^\perp$, where $c_1 \in C_1, \ldots, c_s \in C_s$. Obviously, there exists some positive integer j such that $c_j \neq 0$ where $1 \leq j \leq s$.

As $\alpha \in C^\perp$, by above equality (3.1), there exist $c_1^\perp \in C_1^\perp, \ldots, c_j^\perp \in C_j^\perp, \ldots, c_s^\perp \in C_s^\perp$ such that

$$\alpha = (c_1^\perp, \ldots, c_j^\perp, \ldots, c_s^\perp)A.$$

Therefore

$$(c_1, \ldots, c_j, \ldots, c_s)A = (c_1^\perp, \ldots, c_j^\perp, \ldots, c_s^\perp)A.$$

Since A is an $s \times s$ non-singular matrix, we obtain

$$(c_1, \ldots, c_j, \ldots, c_s) = (c_1^\perp, \ldots, c_j^\perp, \ldots, c_s^\perp),$$

which implies that $0 \neq c_j = c_j^\perp \in C_j \cap C_j^\perp$. This is a contradiction.

$\Longleftarrow$: Otherwise, we assume that C_j is not an LCD code for some $1 \leq j \leq s$. Then there exits a nonzero codeword c_j in C_j such that $c_j \in C_j^{\perp}$.

Taking $\beta = (0, \ldots, 0, c_j, 0, \ldots, 0)A$, then $\beta \neq 0$ since A is an $s \times s$ non-singular matrix. By definition of matrix-product code and above equality (3.1), we have $\beta \in C \cap C^{\perp}$. This is a contradiction. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that A is an $s \times s$ non-singular lower-triangular matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If $C_1 \supset C_2 \supset \cdots \supset C_s$ are linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then $C = [C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s]A$ is an MPLCD code if and only if $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s$ are all LCD codes.*

Proof. Suppose that $A = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ r_{s1} & r_{s2} & r_{s3} & \cdots & r_{ss} \end{pmatrix}$. Then, for $i = 1, \ldots, s$, r_{ii} is non-zeros of $\mathbb{F}_q$ since A is an $s \times s$ non-singular lower-triangular matrix. Therefore

$$(A^{-1})^T = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11}^{-1} & r_{12}^{'} & \cdots & r_{1s}^{'} \\ 0 & r_{22}^{-1} & \cdots & r_{2s}^{'} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{ss}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $r'_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q, i < j, i = 1, \ldots, s-1, j = 2, \ldots, s$. Hence, by Lemma 2.4, the dual code

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\perp} = ([C_1, \ldots, C_s]A)^{\perp} &= [C_1^{\perp}, \ldots, C_s^{\perp}](A^{-1})^T \\ &= [C_1^{\perp}, \ldots, C_s^{\perp}] \begin{pmatrix} r_{11}^{-1} & r_{12}^{'} & \cdots & r_{1s}^{'} \\ 0 & r_{22}^{-1} & \cdots & r_{2s}^{'} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{ss}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= [r_{11}^{-1}C_1^{\perp}, r'_{12}C_1^{\perp} + r_{22}^{-1}C_2^{\perp}, \ldots, r'_{1s}C_1^{\perp} + r'_{2s}C_2^{\perp} + \ldots + r_{ss}^{-1}C_s^{\perp}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_1 \supset C_2 \supset \cdots \supset C_s$ are linear codes, so $C_1^{\perp} \subset C_2^{\perp} \subset \cdots \subset C_s^{\perp}$ also are linear codes. Then we obtain

$$r_{11}^{-1}C_1^{\perp} = C_1^{\perp}, \quad r'_{12}C_1^{\perp} + r_{22}^{-1}C_2^{\perp} = C_2^{\perp}, \quad \ldots, \quad r'_{1s}C_1^{\perp} + r'_{2s}C_2^{\perp} + \ldots + r_{ss}^{-1}C_s^{\perp} = C_s^{\perp}.$$

Hence,

$$C^{\perp} = [C_1^{\perp}, \ldots, C_s^{\perp}] \begin{pmatrix} r_{11}^{-1} & r_{12}^{'} & \cdots & r_{1s}^{'} \\ 0 & r_{22}^{-1} & \cdots & r_{2s}^{'} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{ss}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = [C_1^{\perp}, \ldots, C_s^{\perp}]. \tag{3.2}$$

$\Rightarrow$: If C is not an MPLCD code over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then there exists a nonzero codeword $\alpha = (c_1, \ldots, c_s)A$ in C such that $\alpha \in C^\perp$, where $c_1 \in C_1, \ldots, c_s \in C_s$. Obviously, there exists some positive integer j such that $c_j \neq 0$ where $1 \leq j \leq s$.

As $\alpha \in C^\perp$, by above equality (3.2), there exist $c_1^\perp \in C_1^\perp, \ldots, c_j^\perp \in C_j^\perp, \ldots, c_s^\perp \in C_s^\perp$ such that

$$\alpha = (c_1^\perp, \ldots, c_j^\perp, \ldots, c_s^\perp).$$

Therefore

$$(c_1, \ldots, c_j, \ldots, c_s)A = (c_1^\perp, \ldots, c_j^\perp, \ldots, c_s^\perp),$$

or

$$(r_{11}c_1 + \cdots + r_{s1}c_s, \ldots, r_{jj}c_j + \cdots + r_{sj}c_s, \ldots, r_{ss}c_s) = (c_1^\perp, \ldots, c_j^\perp, \ldots, c_s^\perp).$$

Since $C_1 \supset C_2 \supset \cdots \supset C_s$ are linear codes, we obtain

$$r_{11}c_1 + \cdots + r_{s1}c_s = c_1' \in C_1, \quad \ldots, \quad r_{jj}c_j + \cdots + r_{sj}c_s = c_j' \in C_j, \quad \ldots, \quad r_{ss}c_s = c_s' \in C_s.$$

This implies that $0 \neq c_j' = c_j^\perp \in C_j \cap C_j^\perp$. This is a contradiction.

$\Leftarrow$: Otherwise, we assume that C_j is not an LCD code for some $1 \leq j \leq s$. Then there exits a nonzero codeword c_j in C_j such that $c_j \in C_j^\perp$.

Taking $\beta = (0, \ldots, 0, c_j, 0, \ldots, 0)$, then $\beta \neq 0$ and $\beta \in C^\perp$ by (3.2). Since A is an $s \times s$ non-singular matrix, linear equations over $\mathbb{F}_q$

$$\begin{cases} x_1r_{11} + x_2r_{21} + x_3r_{31} + \cdots + x_jr_{j1} = 0, \\ \qquad x_2r_{22} + x_3r_{32} + \cdots + x_jr_{j2} = 0, \\ \cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \\ \qquad\qquad x_{j-1}r_{j-1,j-1} + x_jr_{j,j-1} = 0, \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad x_jr_{jj} = 1. \end{cases}$$

have unique solution $x_1 = v_1, x_2 = v_2, \ldots, x_j = v_j$.

It is easy to check that $\beta = (v_1c_j, v_2c_j, \ldots, v_{j-1}c_j, v_jc_j, 0, \ldots, 0)A$. Hence, $\beta \in C$, i.e., $\beta \in C \cap C^\perp$, which is a contradiction. □

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $s \times s$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}$, we define $A^{(l)} = (a_{ij}^l)$. Similarly, We can prove the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $C_j \subset \mathbb{F}_{l^2}^n$ be a linear code over $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, and let $C = [C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s]A$ where A is an $s \times s$ matrix. If $A^{(l)}A^T$ is a diagonal square matrix where all the diagonal entries are non-zeros of $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}$. Then $C = [C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s]A$ is a Hermitian LCD code if and only if $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s$ are all Hermitian LCD codes. In particular, if $[(A^{(l)})^{-1}]^T = aA$, where $a \in \mathbb{F}_{l^2}^*$, then $[C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s]A$ is a Hermitian LCD code if and only if $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s$ are all Hermitian LCD codes.*

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that A is an $s \times s$ non-singular lower-triangular matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}$. If $C_1 \supset C_2 \supset \cdots \supset C_s$ are linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}$, then $C = [C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s]A$ is a Hermitian LCD code if and only if $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s$ are all Hermitian LCD codes.*

In the following, we will prove the existence of an asymptotically good sequence of MPLCD codes by Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.5. *MPLCD codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ are asymptotically good.*

Proof. It is well known that LCD codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ are asymptotically good (See [3]). let $C_1, \ldots, C_j, \ldots$, be an asymptotically good sequence of LCD codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let C_j be an $[n_j, k_j, d_j]$ code over $\mathbb{F}_q$. We have two case.

Case 1. $q = p^m$ where p is an odd prime. In the case, For each $j \geq 1$, we define the matrix-product code M_j as

$$M_j := [C_j, C_j] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & p-1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

Since $\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & p-1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}\right)^T = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & p-1 \end{pmatrix}$, M_j is an MPLCD code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by Theorem 3.1. According to Lemma 2.2, M_j is an $[2n_j, 2k_j, \geq d_j]_q$ MPLCD code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ respectively.

For the sequence of MPLCD codes $M_1, \ldots, M_j, \ldots$, the asymptotic rate is

$$R_1 = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{2k_j}{2n_j} = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{k_j}{n_j},$$

and this quantity is positive since $C_1, \ldots, C_j, \ldots$, is asymptotically good. For the asymptotic distance, we have

$$\delta_1 = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{d(M_j)}{2n_j} \geq \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{d_j}{2n_j}.$$

Note again that δ_1 is positive since $C_1, \ldots, C_j, \ldots$, is asymptotically good. Proving the theorem in p odd prime.

Case 2. $q = 2^m$. In the case, For each $j \geq 1$, we define the matrix-product code M_j as

$$M_j := [C_j, C_j] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.4}$$

We first prove that if C_j is an LCD code over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ then M_j is an MPLCD code over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$.

In fact, $\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}\right)^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence, we have

$$M_j^{\perp} = [C_j^{\perp}, C_j^{\perp}] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose that $\eta \in M_j \cap M_j^{\perp}$. Then there exist $c_j, c_j^{'} \in C_j$ and $c_j^{\perp}, (c_j^{'})^{\perp} \in C_j^{\perp}$ such that $\eta = (c_j, c_j + c_j^{'}) = (c_j^{\perp} + (c_j^{'})^{\perp}, (c_j^{'})^{\perp})$ by the definition of matrix-product code. This implies that $c_j = c_j^{\perp} + (c_j^{'})^{\perp}$ and $c_j + c_j^{'} = (c_j^{'})^{\perp}$. By $c_j = c_j^{\perp} + (c_j^{'})^{\perp}$ and $C_j^{\perp}$ linearly, we have $c_j \in C_j \cap C_j^{\perp} = \{0\}$, i.e., $c_j = 0$. On other hand, by $c_j = c_j^{\perp} + (c_j^{'})^{\perp}$ and $c_j + c_j^{'} = (c_j^{'})^{\perp}$, we obtain $c_j^{'} = c_j^{\perp} \in C_j \cap C_j^{\perp} = \{0\}$, i.e., $c_j^{'} = 0$, which proves $\eta = 0$. This means that M_j is an MPLCD code over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$.

According to Lemma 2.3, M_j is an $[2n_j, 2k_j, d_j]_{2^m}$ MPLCD code over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ respectively.

For the sequence of MPLCD codes $M_1, \ldots, M_j, \ldots$, the asymptotic rate is

$$R_2 = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{2k_j}{2n_j} = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{k_j}{n_j},$$

and this quantity is positive since $C_1, \ldots, C_j, \ldots,$ is asymptotically good. For the asymptotic distance, we have

$$\delta_2 = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{d(M_j)}{2n_j} = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{d_j}{2n_j}.$$

Note again that δ_2 is positive since $C_1, \ldots, C_j, \ldots,$ is asymptotically good. This proves the expected result. □

Similarly, We can prove the following theorem by Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.6. *Matrix-product Hermitian LCD codes over $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}$ are asymptotically good.*

4 Examples

In this section, we first construct a class of matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{s\times s}$ whose satisfy $[(A^{(l)})^{-1}]^T = sA$ (or $(A^{-1})^T = sA$) over $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}$ (or $\mathbb{F}_q$) , then we will provide examples of LCD codes by Theorem 3.1 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4.

For $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}$ with l an odd prime power, let $G = \underbrace{G_2 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_2}_{r}$ be abelian group where $G_2 =< a : a^2 = 1 >$. Set $s = 2r$, and we assume that $s \mid (l^2 - 1)$. Let $\widehat{G}$ be the set of characters for G with respect to $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}$. Then $\widehat{G} = \{\chi_0, \chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{s-1}\}$ where $\chi_0, \chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{s-1}$ are the irreducible characters of G. For any $g \in G$, it is easy to see that $\chi_j(g)^2 = 1$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, s-1$. Therefore, the character table of G is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_0(g_0) & \chi_1(g_0) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_0) \\ \chi_0(g_1) & \chi_1(g_1) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \chi_0(g_{s-1}) & \chi_1(g_{s-1}) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_{s-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{s-1} \in G$. Suppose that $l = 2t+1$ for some positive integer t since l is an odd number. Then

$$A^{(l)} = \begin{pmatrix} (\chi_0(g_0))^l & (\chi_1(g_0))^l & \cdots & (\chi_{s-1}(g_0))^l \\ (\chi_0(g_1))^l & (\chi_1(g_1))^l & \cdots & (\chi_{s-1}(g_1))^l \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ (\chi_0(g_{s-1}))^l & (\chi_1(g_{s-1}))^l & \cdots & (\chi_{s-1}(g_{s-1}))^l \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} (\chi_0(g_0))^{2t+1} & (\chi_1(g_0))^{2t+1} & \cdots & (\chi_{s-1}(g_0))^{2t+1} \\ (\chi_0(g_1))^{2t+1} & (\chi_1(g_1))^{2t+1} & \cdots & (\chi_{s-1}(g_1))^{2t+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ (\chi_0(g_{s-1}))^{2t+1} & (\chi_1(g_{s-1}))^{2t+1} & \cdots & (\chi_{s-1}(g_{s-1}))^{2t+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \chi_0(g_0) & \chi_1(g_0) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_0) \\ \chi_0(g_1) & \chi_1(g_1) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \chi_0(g_{s-1}) & \chi_1(g_{s-1}) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_{s-1}) \end{pmatrix} = A.$$

It is well-known that A is invertible, and we have

$$(A^{(l)})^{-1} = A^{-1} = \frac{1}{s} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_0(g_0)^{-1} & \chi_0(g_1)^{-1} & \cdots & \chi_0(g_{s-1})^{-1} \\ \chi_1(g_0)^{-1} & \chi_1(g_1)^{-1} & \cdots & \chi_1(g_{s-1})^{-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \chi_{s-1}(g_0)^{-1} & \chi_{s-1}(g_1)^{-1} & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_{s-1})^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $g \in G$, $\chi_j(g)^2 = 1$, i.e., $\chi_j(g)^{-1} = \chi_j(g)$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, s-1$. This implies that $[(A^{(l)})^{-1}]^T = \frac{1}{s}A$.

Remark 4.1. *For $\mathbb{F}_q$, let $G = \underbrace{G_2 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_2}_{r}$ be abelian group where $G_2 =< a : a^2 = 1 >$. Set $s = 2r$, we assume that $s \mid (q-1)$. Let $\widehat{G}$ be the set of characters for G with respect to $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then $\widehat{G} = \{\chi_0, \chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{s-1}\}$ where $\chi_0, \chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{s-1}$ are the irreducible characters of G. Therefore, the character table of G is*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_0(g_0) & \chi_1(g_0) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_0) \\ \chi_0(g_1) & \chi_1(g_1) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \chi_0(g_{s-1}) & \chi_1(g_{s-1}) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_{s-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{s-1} \in G$. From above to see that $(A^{-1})^T = \frac{1}{s}A$.

Now we would like to use matrix-product codes to construct LCD codes.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $l^2 \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ where l is an odd prime power, and let C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 be Hermitain LCD codes over $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}$ with parameters $[n, k_i, d_i]_{l^2}$. Then there exists a Hermitian LCD code over $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}$ with parameters $[4n, k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4, \geq min\{4d_1, 2d_2, 2d_3, d_4\}]_{l^2}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $G = G_2 \times G_2 = \{(1,1), (x,1)(1,y), (x,y) : x^2 = y^2 = 1\}$. Then the character table of G is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By above construction of the matrix A, Theorem 3.3, and Lemma 2.3, we know that the matrix product code $C = [C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4]A$ is Hermitain LCD with parameters $[4n, k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4, \geq min\{4d_1, 2d_2, 2d_3, d_4\}]_{l^2}$. □

Similarly, We have the following Corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $q \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, and let C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 be LCD codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with parameters $[n, k_i, d_i]_q$. Then there exists a MPLCD code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with parameters $[4n, k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4, \geq min\{4d_1, 2d_2, 2d_3, d_4\}]_q$.*

Example 1. *Let $p = 5$. Then*

$$x^{12} - 1 = (x+1)(x+2)(x+3)(x+4)(x^2+x+1)(x^2+2x+4)(x^2+3x+4)(x^2+4x+1),$$

is the factorization of $x^{12} - 1$ into irreducible factors over $\mathbb{F}_5$. It follows from Proposition 2.6 that $C_1 = C_2 = C_3 = \langle x+1 \rangle$ and $C_4 = \langle (x+1)(x^2+2x+4)(x^2+3x+4) \rangle$ are all LCD codes over $\mathbb{F}_5$ with parameters $[12, 11, 2]_5$ and $[12, 7, 4]_5$ respectively. By Corollary 4.3, matrix-product code $C = [C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is an LCD code over $\mathbb{F}_5$ with parameters $[48, 40, \geq 4]_5$.

Example 2. *Let $p = 5$. Then*

$$x^{13} - 1 = (x+4)(x^4+x^3+4x^2+x+1)(x^4+2x^3+x^2+2x+1)(x^4+3x^3+3x+1),$$

is the factorization of $x^{13} - 1$ into irreducible factors over $\mathbb{F}_5$. It follows from Proposition 2.6 that $C_1 = \langle x+1 \rangle$, $C_2 = C_3 = \langle x^4+x^3+4x^2+x+1 \rangle$ and $C_4 = \langle (x+4)(x^4+x^3+4x^2+x+1)(x^4+2x^3+x^2+2x+1) \rangle$ are all LCD codes over $\mathbb{F}_5$ with parameters $[13, 12, 2]_5$, $[13, 9, 4]_5$ and $[13, 4, 8]_5$ respectively. By Corollary 4.3, matrix-product code $C = [C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is an LCD code over $\mathbb{F}_5$ with parameters $[52, 34, \geq 8]_5$.

Example 3. *Let $p = 5$. Then*

$$x^{18} - 1 = (x + 1)(x + 4)(x^2 + x + 1)(x^2 + 4x + 1)(x^6 + x^3 + 1)(x^6 + 4x^3 + 1),$$

is the factorization of $x^{18} - 1$ into irreducible factors over $\mathbb{F}_5$. It follows from Proposition 2.6 that $C_1 = \langle x + 1\rangle$, $C_2 = C_3 = \langle (x + 1)(x^6 + x^3 + 1)\rangle$ and $C_4 = \langle (x^2 + x + 1)(x^2 + 4x + 1)(x^6 + x^3 + 1)(x^6 + 4x^3 + 1)\rangle$ are all LCD codes over $\mathbb{F}_5$ with parameters $[18, 17, 2]_5$, $[18, 11, 4]_5$ and $[18, 2, 9]_5$ respectively. By Corollary 4.3, matrix-product code $C = [C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4]\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is an LCD code over $\mathbb{F}_5$ with parameters $[72, 41, \geq 8]_5$.

Example 4. *Let $p = 13$. Then*

$$x^{10} - 1 = (x + 1)(x + 12)(x^4 + 12x^3 + x^2 + 12x + 1)(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1),$$

is the factorization of $x^{10} - 1$ into irreducible factors over $\mathbb{F}_{13}$. It follows from Proposition 2.6 that $C_1 = \langle (x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)\rangle$, $C_2 = C_3 = \langle (x^4 + 12x^3 + x^2 + 12x + 1)(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)\rangle$ and $C_4 = \langle (x + 1)(x^4 + 12x^3 + x^2 + 12x + 1)(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)\rangle$ are all LCD codes over $\mathbb{F}_{13}$ with parameters $[10, 6, 2]_{13}$, $[10, 2, 5]_{13}$ and $[10, 1, 10]_{13}$ respectively. By Corollary 4.3, matrix-product code $C = [C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4]\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is an LCD code over $\mathbb{F}_{13}$ with parameters $[40, 11, \geq 8]_{13}$, and by Theorem 3,2, matrix-product code $C = [C_1, C_2, C_2, C_4]\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is an LCD code over $\mathbb{F}_{13}$ with parameters $[40, 11, \geq 2]_{13}$

.

Example 5. *Let $p = 5^2$, ω is a 25-th primitive root of unity. Then*

$$x^{17} - 1 = (x + 4)(x^8 + w^4x^7 + w^{21}x^6 + w^7x^5 + w^{13}x^4 + w^7x^3 + w^{21}x^2 + w^4x + 1)$$

$$(x^8 + w^{20}x^7 + w^9x^6 + w^{11}x^5 + w^{17}x^4 + w^{11}x^3 + w^9x^2 + w^{20} + 1).$$

is the factorization of $x^{17}-1$ into irreducible factors over $\mathbb{F}_{5^2}$. It follows from Proposition 2.9 that $C_1 = \langle x^8+w^4x^7+w^{21}x^6+w^7x^5+w^{13}x^4+w^7x^3+w^{21}x^2+w^4x+1\rangle$, $C_2 = C_3 = \langle (x+4)(x^8+w^4x^7+w^{21}x^6+w^7x^5+w^{13}x^4+w^7x^3+w^{21}x^2+w^4x+1)\rangle$ and $C_4 = \langle (x^8+w^4x^7+w^{21}x^6+w^7x^5+w^{13}x^4+w^7x^3+w^{21}x^2+w^4x+1)(x^8+w^{20}x^7+w^9x^6+w^{11}x^5+w^{17}x^4+w^{11}x^3+w^9x^2+w^{20}+1)\rangle$

are all Hermitain LCD codes over $\mathbb{F}_{5^2}$ *with parameters* $[17,9,9]_{5^2}$, $[17,8,10]_{5^2}$ *and* $[17,1,17]_{5^2}$ *respectively. By Corollary 4.3, matrix-product code* $C=[C_1,C_2,C_3,C_4]\begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&1\\1&1&-1&-1\\1&-1&1&-1\\1&-1&-1&1\end{pmatrix}$ *is a Hermitain LCD code over* $\mathbb{F}_{5^2}$ *with parameters* $[68,26,\geq 17]_{5^2}$, *and by Theorem 3,4, matrix-product code* $C=[C_1,C_1,C_2,C_2]\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\1&1&0&0\\1&1&1&0\\1&1&1&1\end{pmatrix}$ *is a Hermitain LCD code over* $\mathbb{F}_{5^2}$ *with parameters* $[68,34,\geq 9]_{5^2}$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let* C_1 *and* C_2 *be LCD codes over* $\mathbb{F}_2$ *with parameters* $[n,k_1,d_1]_2$ *and* $[n,k_2,d_2]_2$ *respectively. If* $A=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&1\\1&1&0\\1&1&1\end{pmatrix}$, *then matrix-product code* $C=[C_1,C_1,C_2]A$ *is MPLCD over* $\mathbb{F}_2$ *with parameters* $[3n,2k_1+k_2,\geq min\{2d_1,d_2\}]_2$.

Proof. Since $A=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&1\\1&1&0\\1&1&1\end{pmatrix}$, we have $(A^{-1})^T=\begin{pmatrix}1&1&0\\1&0&1\\1&1&1\end{pmatrix}$. Hence,

$$C^{\perp}=[C_1^{\perp},C_1^{\perp},C_2^{\perp}]\begin{pmatrix}1&1&0\\1&0&1\\1&1&1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose that $\eta\in C\cap C^{\perp}$. Then there exist $c_1,c_1^{'}\in C_1, c_2\in C_2$ and $c_1^{\perp},(c_1^{'})^{\perp}\in C_1^{\perp}, c_2^{\perp}\in C_2^{\perp}$ such that $(c_1+c_1^{'}+c_2,c_1^{'}+c_2,c_1+c_2)=(c_1^{\perp}+(c_1^{'})^{\perp}+c_2^{\perp},c_1^{\perp}+c_2^{\perp},(c_1^{'})^{\perp}+c_2^{\perp})$ by the definition of matrix-product code. This implies that

$$c_1+c_1^{'}+c_2=c_1^{\perp}+(c_1^{'})^{\perp}+c_2^{\perp},$$

$$c_1^{'}+c_2=c_1^{\perp}+c_2^{\perp},$$

$$c_1+c_2=(c_1^{'})^{\perp}+c_2^{\perp}.$$

By $c_1^{'}+c_2=c_1^{\perp}+c_2^{\perp}, c_1+c_2=(c_1^{'})^{\perp}+c_2^{\perp}$, and $C_1, C_1^{\perp}$ linearly, we have $c_1-c_1^{'}=(c_1^{'})^{\perp}+c_1^{\perp}\in C_1\cap C_1^{\perp}=\{0\}$, i.e., $c_1=c_1^{'}, (c_1^{'})^{\perp}=c_1^{\perp}$. On other hand, by $c_1+c_1^{'}+c_2=c_1^{\perp}+(c_1^{'})^{\perp}+c_2^{\perp}$, we obtain $c_2=c_2^{\perp}\in C_2\cap C_2^{\perp}=\{0\}$, i.e., $c_2=c_2^{\perp}=0$, which prove $c_1=c_1^{'}=0$. Therefore, $\eta=0$. This means that C is a matrix-product LCD code over $\mathbb{F}_2$. □

Example 6. *Let* $p=2$. *Then*

$$x^{10}-1=(x+1)^2(x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1)^2.$$

is the factorization of $x^{10}-1$ *into irreducible factors over* $\mathbb{F}_2$*. It follows from Proposition 2.7 that* $C_1 = \langle (x+1)^2 \rangle$*,* $C_2 = \langle (x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1)^2 \rangle$ *are LCD codes over* $\mathbb{F}_2$ *with parameters* $[10,8,2]_2$ *and* $[10,2,5]_2$ *respectively. By Theorem 4.4, matrix-product code* $C = [C_1, C_1, C_2] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ *is an LCD code over* $\mathbb{F}_2$ *with parameters* $[30, 18, \geq 4]_2$ *.*

5 Conclusion

We have developed new methods of constructing LCD codes from matrix-product codes over finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Using those methods, we have constructed good LCD codes. We believe that matrix-product codes over finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ will be a good source for constructing good LCD codes. In a future work, we will use the computer algebra system MAGMA to find more good LCD codes.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Research Funds of Hubei Province, Grant No. D20144401.

References

[1] T. Blackmore, G.H. Norton, Matrix-product codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.,**12** (2001) 477-500.

[2] C. Carlet and S. Guilley, Complementary dual codes for counter-measures to side-channel attacks, Proceedings of the 4th ICMCTA Meeting, Palmela, Portugal, 2014.

[3] J. L. Massey, Linear codes with complementary duals, Discrete Math., **106/107**(1992) 337-342.

[4] M. Esmaeili, S. Yari, On complementary-daul quasi-cyclic codes, Finite Field Appl., **15**(2009) 357-386.

[5] N. Sendrier, linear codes with complementary duals meet the Gilbert-Varshamov bound, Discrete Math., **304**(2004) 345-347.

[6] X. Yang, J.L. Massey, The condition for a cyclic code to have a complementary dual, Discrete Math. **126**(1994) 391-393.

[7] S. Ling, P. Solé, On the algebraic structure of quasi-cyclic, I: finite fields, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theorey, **47**(2001) 2751-2760.

[8] F. Hernando, K. Lally, D. Ruano, Construction and decoding of matrix-product codes, Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.,**20**(2009) 497-507.

[9] A. Degwekar, K. Guenda, T. A. Gulliver, Extending Construction X for Quantum Error-Correcting Codes, arXiv preprint, arXiv:1312.6064V1.

[10] W. C. Huffman, V. Pless, Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.